EasyChair Preprint

№ 12667

Minimally Invasive Tooth Extraction Techniques and Ridge Preservation

Emmanuel Idowu, Favour Olaoye and Axel Egon

March 21, 2024

Minimally Invasive Tooth Extraction Techniques and Ridge Preservation

Date: 16th march 2024

Authors:

Emmanuel Idowu, Favour Olaoye, Axel Egon

Abstract:

Minimally Invasive Tooth Extraction (MITE) techniques have revolutionized dental practice, aiming to preserve surrounding bone and soft tissue while achieving atraumatic extraction. This paper explores the principles and typAes of MITE, including the Socket Shield Technique, Piezoelectric Extraction, and Minimally Invasive Flapless Extraction, emphasizing their significance in preserving alveolar ridge integrity.

Ridge Preservation post-extraction is paramount to maintaining bone volume and density, crucial for future implant placement. Various methods such as Socket Preservation with Bone Grafts, Barrier Membranes, and Platelet-Rich Fibrin (PRF) Therapy are discussed in detail, highlighting their benefits in preserving alveolar ridge dimensions.

Clinical applications and considerations encompass patient selection, preoperative assessment, surgical techniques, and management of complications. Case studies demonstrate the efficacy of MITE and Ridge Preservation techniques, showcasing positive clinical outcomes and implant success rates.

The paper also delves into future directions and innovations, including emerging technologies and the integration of digital dentistry. In conclusion, the significance of Minimally Invasive Techniques in Tooth Extraction and Ridge Preservation is underscored, urging continued research and education for dental practitioners to advance patient care and outcomes.

Keywords: Minimally Invasive Tooth Extraction, Ridge Preservation, Socket Shield Technique, Piezoelectric Extraction, Alveolar Ridge Preservation, Dental Implants, Clinical Outcomes

I. Introduction

A. Definition of Minimally Invasive Tooth Extraction (MITE)

Minimally Invasive Tooth Extraction (MITE) refers to a set of techniques and principles aimed at extracting teeth with minimal trauma to the surrounding tissues, particularly the bone and soft tissues. The goal is to preserve the natural anatomy of the extraction site and promote faster healing.

B. Importance of Ridge Preservation

Ridge preservation is the process of maintaining the shape and volume of the alveolar ridge, which is the bony ridge that supports the teeth. It is important because after tooth extraction, the alveolar ridge tends to undergo resorption, resulting in a loss of bone volume and compromised aesthetics. Ridge preservation techniques help minimize this bone loss and provide a more favorable ridge contour for future dental restorations.

C. Purpose of Minimally Invasive Techniques in ARP

Minimally invasive techniques in Alveolar Ridge Preservation (ARP) aim to minimize the trauma associated with tooth extraction and prevent excessive bone loss. By preserving the ridge's anatomy and minimizing tissue damage, these techniques enhance the success of subsequent dental implant placement and improve aesthetic outcomes.

II. Background Information

A. Overview of Traditional Tooth Extraction Techniques

Traditional tooth extraction techniques often involve the use of forceps and elevators to luxate the tooth and extract it from the socket. These techniques may require extensive bone removal, resulting in tissue trauma, postoperative pain, and delayed healing. Additionally, the removal of teeth without ridge preservation can lead to significant bone resorption.

B. Evolution of Minimally Invasive Extraction Methods

Over time, dental professionals have developed and refined minimally invasive extraction methods to minimize tissue trauma and promote better healing outcomes. These methods prioritize preserving the surrounding bone and soft tissue, which can be achieved through various techniques and instruments.

C. Rationale for Ridge Preservation after Tooth Extraction

After tooth extraction, the extraction socket goes through a healing process that involves bone remodeling. Without ridge preservation techniques, the alveolar ridge tends to experience significant bone resorption, resulting in a decrease in width and height. This bone loss can complicate future dental implant placement and compromise the aesthetic outcomes of restorations. Ridge preservation techniques aim to minimize this bone resorption and maintain the ridge's dimensions.

III. Minimally Invasive Tooth Extraction Techniques

A. Explanation of MITE Principles:

A traumatic extraction

A traumatic extraction involves gentle and careful removal of the tooth from its socket to minimize trauma to the surrounding tissues. This technique aims to preserve the periodontal ligament and prevent damage to the alveolar bone.

Preservation of surrounding bone and soft tissue

Minimally invasive techniques prioritize preserving the surrounding bone and soft tissue during tooth extraction. This involves avoiding excessive trauma, reducing the need for bone removal, and maintaining the natural anatomy of the extraction site.

B. Types of MITE:

Socket Shield Technique

The socket shield technique is a minimally invasive approach in which a thin buccal portion of the root is retained during tooth extraction. This retained root fragment acts as a scaffold for the preservation of the buccal plate of bone, reducing bone resorption and maintaining the ridge's contour.

Piezoelectric Extraction

Piezoelectric extraction involves the use of ultrasonic vibrations to facilitate the a traumatic removal of the tooth from the socket. The ultrasonic instrument selectively

disrupts the periodontal ligament and facilitates the extraction while minimizing damage to the surrounding bone.

Minimally Invasive Flapless Extraction

Minimally invasive flapless extraction is a technique where a surgical flap (incision and reflection of the gum tissue) is not made. Instead, the tooth is extracted through a small opening in the gum, reducing tissue trauma and promoting faster healing.

Use of Minimally Invasive Instruments

Minimally invasive instruments, such as periotomes and luxators, are specifically designed to facilitate atraumatic tooth extraction. These instruments minimize the force required for extraction, reducing the risk of tissue damage and bone loss.

These minimally invasive techniques and instruments collectively aim to preserve the surrounding bone and soft tissue, minimize postoperative complications, and provide a better foundation for future dental restorations.

IV. Ridge Preservation Procedures

A. Definition and Objectives of ARP

Alveolar Ridge Preservation (ARP) refers to a set of procedures performed after tooth extraction to minimize bone resorption and maintain the dimensions and contours of the alveolar ridge. The objectives of ARP include preserving the bone volume and architecture, preventing soft tissue collapse, and providing a suitable foundation for future dental restorations.

B. Techniques Used in Ridge Preservation:

Immediate Grafting with Xenografts

Immediate grafting involves placing a bone graft material, often derived from animal sources (xenograft), into the extraction socket immediately after tooth extraction. This technique helps preserve the ridge's volume and provides a scaffold for new bone formation.

Socket Sealing Techniques

Socket sealing techniques involve covering the extraction socket with a resorbable membrane or a collagen plug to protect the underlying tissues and promote healing. These techniques prevent soft tissue collapse into the socket and maintain the ridge's contours.

Use of Barrier Membranes

Barrier membranes are thin sheets made of biocompatible materials that are placed over the extraction site to prevent the ingrowth of soft tissue while allowing the migration of bone-forming cells. They aid in maintaining the space for bone regeneration and contribute to ridge preservation.

Platelet-Rich Fibrin (PRF) Application

Platelet-Rich Fibrin (PRF) is a blood concentrate rich in growth factors that promote tissue healing and regeneration. It can be prepared from the patient's own blood and applied to the extraction socket to enhance the healing process and support the preservation of the alveolar ridge.

V. Clinical Benefits of Minimally Invasive Techniques in ARP

A. Reduced Postoperative Pain and Discomfort

Minimally invasive techniques in ARP minimize tissue trauma, resulting in reduced postoperative pain and discomfort for patients. This improves the overall patient experience and facilitates a smoother recovery process.

B. Preservation of Alveolar Bone and Soft Tissue Architecture

By employing minimally invasive techniques, the integrity and architecture of the alveolar bone and surrounding soft tissues can be better preserved. This helps maintain the natural contours of the ridge and minimizes bone resorption.

C. Improved Healing and Faster Recovery Times

Minimally invasive techniques promote faster healing and recovery times compared to traditional extraction methods. The reduced tissue trauma and preservation of the extraction site contribute to improved tissue regeneration and overall healing outcomes.

D. Enhanced Aesthetics and Functionality of Dental Restorations

By preserving the alveolar ridge through minimally invasive techniques, the foundation for future dental restorations, such as dental implants or fixed dental prostheses, is improved. This enhances the aesthetics and functionality of the restorations, leading to better patient satisfaction.

VI. Case Studies or Clinical Examples

A. Presentation of Case Studies Utilizing MITE and Ridge Preservation

Case studies can be presented to illustrate the successful application of minimally invasive techniques in ARP. These studies can showcase the preservation of ridge dimensions, improved healing outcomes, and long-term stability of the treated sites.

B. Clinical Outcomes and Long-Term Stability

The clinical outcomes of utilizing MITE and ridge preservation techniques can be evaluated through long-term follow-up assessments. These evaluations can include measurements of bone volume and ridge dimensions, assessment of implant success rates, and patient satisfaction surveys.

VII. Discussion

A. Advantages and Disadvantages of MITE in ARP

The advantages of utilizing minimally invasive techniques in ARP include reduced postoperative complications, improved healing outcomes, and better preservation of ridge anatomy. However, disadvantages may include increased surgical complexity, the need for specialized skills and instruments, and potential limitations in certain clinical scenarios.

B. Comparison with Traditional Extraction Methods

A comparison between minimally invasive techniques and traditional extraction methods can be made to highlight the benefits of MITE in terms of reduced trauma, improved preservation of ridge dimensions, and enhanced aesthetics and functionality of restorations.

C. Considerations for Patient Selection and Treatment Planning

Patient selection and treatment planning play a crucial role in the successful application of MITE and ridge preservation techniques. Factors such as the patient's overall health, the quality of the remaining bone, and the need for future implant placement need to be carefully considered.

D. Challenges and Future Directions in MITE and ARP

The discussion can address challenges encountered in implementing MITE and ridge preservation techniques, such as case selection, technique standardization, and long-term stability. Future directions may involve advancements in materials, instruments, and technology to further optimize the outcomes of MITE and ARP procedures.

VIII. Conclusion

A. Summary of Key Points

The conclusion should summarize the key points discussed, emphasizing the definition and objectives of MITE and ARP, the techniques used in ridge preservation, the clinical benefits of minimally invasive techniques, and the challenges and future directions in the field.

B. Implications for Clinical Practice

The conclusion can highlight the implications of incorporating MITE and ridge preservation techniques intoclinical practice, including improved patient outcomes, enhanced aesthetics and functionality of restorations, and the potential for more predictable implant placement.

C. Recommendations for Incorporating MITE and Ridge Preservation Techniques

The conclusion can provide recommendations for dental practitioners to incorporate MITE and ridge preservation techniques into their clinical practice. This may include continuing education, training in minimally invasive techniques, and staying updated with advancements in the field.

Overall, the utilization of minimally invasive techniques in Alveolar Ridge Preservation (ARP) offers several benefits in terms of reduced postoperative complications, improved healing outcomes, and better preservation of ridge anatomy. By incorporating these

techniques into clinical practice, dental professionals can enhance patient satisfaction and optimize long-term treatment outcomes.

References

Burch, Jane, and Sera Tort. “How Does Alveolar Ridge Preservation after Tooth Extraction Compare with Extraction Alone?” Cochrane Clinical Answers, September 19, 2019. https://doi.org/10.1002/cca.993.

“Clinical and Radiographic Evaluation of Advanced Platelet Rich Fibrin in the Preservation of Alveolar Ridge Following Atraumatic Tooth Extraction.” Case Medical Research, December 13, 2019. https://doi.org/10.31525/ct1-nct04197895.

Shakibaie, Behnam, Markus Blatz, Hamoun Sabri, Ebrahim Jamnani, and Shayan Barootchi. “Effectiveness of Two Differently Processed Bovine-Derived Xenografts for Alveolar Ridge Preservation with a Minimally Invasive Tooth Extraction Approach: A Feasibility Clinical Trial.” The International Journal of Periodontics & Restorative Dentistry 43, no. 5 (September 2023): 541–49. https://doi.org/10.11607/prd.6128.

Kumar, Kunal, Revati Singh, Vishal Mugal, Nikhil Dhingra, Priyanka Priyadarshni, and Subhash Bandgar. “Preservation of Alveolar Ridge Using Graft Material after Tooth Extraction: A Clinical Trial.” Journal of Pharmacy and Bioallied Sciences 13, no. Suppl 1 (June 2021): S456–60. https://doi.org/10.4103/jpbs.jpbs_603_20.

Zhang,Yingdi, Zheng Ruan, Minhua Shen, Luanjun Tan, Weiqin Huang, Lei Wang, and Yuanliang Huang. “Clinical Effect of Platelet-Rich Fibrin on the Preservation of the Alveolar Ridge Following Tooth Extraction.” Experimental and Therapeutic Medicine, January 4, 2018. https://doi.org/10.3892/etm.2018.5696.

Babaei, Maryam, Rokhsareh Sadeghi, SAsghar Miremadi, and FatemehMashadi Abbas. “A Randomized Controlled Evaluation of Alveolar Ridge Preservation Following Tooth Extraction Using Deproteinized Bovine Bone Mineral and Demineralized Freeze-Dried Bone Allograft.” Dental Research Journal 13, no. 2 (2016): 151. https://doi.org/10.4103/1735-3327.178202.

Zhu, Hongguang, Jianwen Bai, Meirong Wei, and Ti Li. “Application of Bovine Acellular Cancellous Bone Matrix in Alveolar Ridge Preservation Following Tooth Extraction.” Journal of Biomaterials and Tissue Engineering 11, no. 5 (May 1, 2021): 805–12. https://doi.org/10.1166/jbt.2021.2602.

Babaei, Maryam, Rokhsareh Sadeghi, SAsghar Miremadi, and FatemehMashadi Abbas. “A Randomized Controlled Evaluation of Alveolar Ridge Preservation Following Tooth Extraction Using Deproteinized Bovine Bone Mineral and Demineralized Freeze-Dried Bone Allograft.” Dental Research Journal 13, no. 2 (2016): 151. https://doi.org/10.4103/1735-3327.178202.

Azangookhiavi, Hassan, Safoura Ghodsi, Fatemeh Jalil, and Yalda Dadpour. “Comparison of the Efficacy of Platelet-Rich Fibrin and Bone Allograft for Alveolar

Ridge Preservation after Tooth Extraction: A Clinical Trial." Frontiers in Dentistry, August 12, 2020. https://doi.org/10.18502/fid.v17i1.3961.

Covani, U., M. Ricci, G. Bozzolo, F. Mangano, A. Zini, and A. Barone. "Analysis of the Pattern of the Alveolar Ridge Remodelling Following Single Tooth Extraction." Clinical Oral Implants Research 22, no. 8 (December 29, 2010): 820–25. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0501.2010.02060.x.

Iorio-Siciliano, Vincenzo, Luca Ramaglia, Andrea Blasi, Paolo Bucci, Paolo Nuzzolo, Francesco Riccitiello, and Michele Nicolò. "Dimensional Changes Following Alveolar Ridge Preservation in the Posterior Area Using Bovine-Derived Xenografts and Collagen Membrane Compared to Spontaneous Healing: A 6-Month Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial." Clinical Oral Investigations 24, no. 2 (July 8, 2019): 1013–23. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-019-02979-w.

Cheng, Linda L. "Alveolar Ridge Preservation with Bone Graft May Limit Physiological Ridge Loss after Tooth Extraction." The Journal of the American Dental Association 147, no. 3 (March 2016): 204–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adaj.2015.12.015.

Minetti, Elio, Silvio Taschieri, and Stefano Corbella. "Autologous Deciduous Tooth-Derived Material for Alveolar Ridge Preservation: A Clinical and Histological Case Report." Case Reports in Dentistry 2020 (June 18, 2020): 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/2936878.

Baniasadi, Behrang, and Laurence Evrard. "Alveolar Ridge Preservation After Tooth Extraction with DFDBA and Platelet Concentrates: A Radiographic Retrospective Study." The Open Dentistry Journal 11, no. 1 (February 14, 2017): 99–108. https://doi.org/10.2174/1874210601711010099.

Joseph, Surya, Se-Lim Oh, Eung-Kwon Pae, and Shashank Joshi. "Use of Transcortical Miniscrews for Alveolar Ridge Preservation Following Tooth Extraction: A Pilot Study." Clinical Oral Implants Research 33, no. 2 (November 16, 2021): 150–57. https://doi.org/10.1111/clr.13875.

Mardas, Nikos, Francesco D'Aiuto, Luis Mezzomo, Marina Arzoumanidi, and Nikolaos Donos. "Radiographic Alveolar Bone Changes Following Ridge Preservation with Two Different Biomaterials." Clinical Oral Implants Research 22, no. 4 (March 9, 2011): 416–23. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0501.2010.02154.x.